The Granularity of the Subitron

Phase-Tip, Kymium, Voided Spacetime, and the Thermal Trace of the Dark-Cloud Lattice

Author: Grégoire Mommaerts

Abstract

This article clarifies the meaning of subitron granularity in HoloGenesis, the primitive thermal subitron frequency being:

$$f_T \approx 56.8\,\mathrm{GHz}$$

At first sight, this value appears problematic because the corresponding free-space wavelength is:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T} \approx 5.28 \times 10^{-3}\,\mathrm{m}$$

or approximately:

$$\ell_T \approx 5.28\,\mathrm{mm}$$

If this wavelength were interpreted as the literal size of a material spacetime particle, HoloGenesis would appear to imply that spacetime is made of millimetric bricks. That interpretation is incorrect.

The subitron is not a particle, not a hard voxel, not a wrapped entity, and not a glider. It is the primitive faint phase-tip of the dark-cloud lattice. It is more primordial than photon glide, particle wrapping, or localized shell formation. Its role is not to exist as an object inside spacetime, but to become the kymic basis through which spacetime is imprinted as lattice [23], [54], [59].

In the special case of the subitron, kymium should not be understood as a later substance added onto a prior object. This distinction is essential because it prevents a false separation between the subitron and kymium at the most primordial level of the HoloGenesis architecture. For particles, gliders, wraps, and later structures, kymium may be described as the wave-frequency substrate or pre-quantum vibrational order from which a phenomenon is inscribed. In the case of the subitron, however, the framework has reached the floor of the architecture: there is no prior material carrier and no later object receiving kymium as an added component.

The subitron is therefore the primordial phase-tip, while subitronic kymium is that same phase-tip in its activated kymic availability. The distinction is not one of substance, but one of state. The subitron as phase-tip names the primordial condition; the subitron as kymium names that same condition once it has become vibrationally available for imprint. Its cymatogenesis does not first produce a particle, a glider, or a wrapped entity. It produces the possibility of voided space itself, meaning the basal spatial availability through which the dark-cloud lattice can appear.

This clarification is important because it keeps the subitron prior to glide, wrapping, and particle formation. Later kymium phenomena underlie localized structures, but subitronic kymium is more fundamental: it is the subitron becoming active enough to open the lattice. In this sense, the

cymatogenic imprint of the activated subitron is not a material object inside spacetime, but the first availability of spacetime as voided lattice.

The wavelength:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T} \approx 5.28\,\mathrm{mm}$$

therefore does not describe the size of a subitron object. It describes the free-space equivalent thermal trace of the subitronic floor. This trace may correspond to the basal void-span of the lattice imprint, but it should not be prematurely identified with the literal lattice-cell size. The actual cymatogenic void-span opened by the subitron may be smaller, while the $5.28\,\mathrm{mm}$ value remains the radiative remnant, coherence echo, or thermal wavelength-equivalent of that deeper voided structure.

The central distinction is therefore:

$$\text{subitron phase-tip} \neq \text{material spacetime voxel}$$

and:

$$\ell_T = \text{thermal trace wavelength}$$

not necessarily:

$$\ell_T = \text{literal cymatogenic lattice-cell span}$$

The HoloGenesis definition of the subitron's granularity is not material granularity. It is either the real voided spacetime span produced by its kymic imprint, or the thermal trace of that deeper void-span. The subitron itself is the primordial phase-tip; its measurable body is the thermal, spatial, and electromagnetic imprint it produces.

---

1. The Problem of Millimetric Granularity

The corrected primitive thermal frequency of the subitron is:

$$f_T \approx 56.8\,\mathrm{GHz}$$

Using the usual wavelength conversion:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T}$$

one obtains:

$$\ell_T \approx 5.28 \times 10^{-3}\,\mathrm{m}$$

or:

$$\ell_T \approx 5.28\,\mathrm{mm}$$

This value is much larger than atoms, nuclei, electron shell scales, and all familiar microscopic structures. If interpreted as the literal size of a spacetime brick, it would create an immediate conceptual difficulty.

Matter clearly has stable structure far below the millimetric scale. Electrons, nuclei, atoms, and photons cannot be understood as ordinary objects inside a millimetric cubic substrate if that substrate is treated as a rigid voxel grid. This is why the corrected subitron value must be interpreted through HoloGenesis lattice ontology rather than as a naïve material cell-size [7], [23], [38], [54].

Therefore, HoloGenesis must not define the subitron as a material millimetric object.

The mistake would be:

$$\ell_T = \text{literal size of a subitron particle}$$

The corrected interpretation is:

$$\ell_T = \text{thermal trace of the subitronic imprint}$$

or, more cautiously:

$$\ell_T = \text{free-space equivalent wavelength of the subitronic floor}$$

This distinction changes the ontology.

The subitron is not a millimetric particle. The millimetric value is the wavelength-equivalent of the basal thermal resonance associated with the dark-cloud lattice. It may be the trace of the void-span, but it should not be forced to be the literal cell size of voided spacetime.

---

2. The Subitron Is Not a Particle

In HoloGenesis, particles are wrapped or localized coherence structures. Photons are gliding expressions. Shell modes are localized horizon structures. The subitron is prior to these categories [2], [7], [36], [57], [59].

The subitron is not wrapped:

$$\text{subitron} \neq \text{wrapped entity}$$

The subitron is not gliding:

$$\text{subitron} \neq \text{glider}$$

The subitron is not a particle:

$$\text{subitron} \neq \text{particle}$$

The subitron is also not a hard cell of spacetime:

$$\text{subitron} \neq \text{spacetime voxel}$$

The subitron is better defined as:

$$\text{subitron} = \text{primitive faint phase-tip of the dark-cloud lattice}$$

or more fully:

$$\text{subitron} = \text{pre-material kymic phase-tip}$$

This phase-tip is too primordial to be a localized object. It does not yet glide, because glide belongs to expressed propagation. It does not yet wrap, because wrapping belongs to particle formation. It does not yet possess ordinary objecthood, because objecthood requires localization inside the lattice.

The subitron is therefore the pre-material phase condition by which the lattice becomes capable of standing coherence.

---

3. Kymium as the Activated State of the Subitron

The subitron phase-tip becomes meaningful when it is excited by the Beam.

The sequence may first be written as:

$$\text{Beam} \rightarrow \text{subitron phase-tip} \rightarrow \text{kymium} \rightarrow \text{cymatogenic imprint} \rightarrow \text{dark-cloud lattice}$$

However, this sequence must be interpreted carefully. In the special case of the subitron, kymium is not a second object separate from the subitron. The subitron's kymium is the subitron itself in its activated kymic state.

Thus:

$$\text{subitron kymium} = \text{activated subitron}$$

or:

$$\text{kymium}_{\text{sub}} = \text{subitron in kymic availability}$$

In this sense, the subitron is the primordial phase-tip, while its kymium is that same phase-tip made vibrationally available for imprint.

Kymium is not a particle. It is the first vibrational availability of the lattice. It is the pre-quantum wave-frequency condition by which the subitron phase-tip becomes capable of imprinting [56], [59].

Thus:

$$\text{kymium} = \text{activated subitronic phase condition}$$

and:

$$\text{cymatogenesis} = \text{inscription of kymic order into lattice form}$$

The subitron phase-tip is the primordial source condition. Kymium is its excited vibrational availability. Cymatogenesis is the process by which that vibrational availability imprints structure.

This gives the subitron its proper place in HoloGenesis:

$$\text{subitron} \rightarrow \text{subitronic kymium} \rightarrow \text{cymatogenesis} \rightarrow \text{voided space} \rightarrow \text{dark-cloud lattice}$$

The subitron is therefore not a small object inside the lattice. It is the primordial phase-tip whose kymic activation produces the imprint by which voided space appears as lattice.

---

4. The Thermal Imprint

The measurable thermal imprint of subitronic kymium is expressed through the corrected primitive thermal frequency:

$$f_T \approx 56.8\,\text{GHz}$$

and the associated cosmic thermal floor near:

$$T_T \approx 2.7\,\mathrm{K}$$

This corrected interpretation follows the methodological shift from a single-frequency reading of the dark-cloud architecture toward a frequency-square and floor/peak distinction [40], [54], [55].

The corresponding wavelength-equivalent is:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T}$$

so:

$$\ell_T \approx 5.28\,\mathrm{mm}$$

But this value must be read carefully.

It does not mean:

$$\ell_T = \text{subitron obect diameter}$$

It also need not mean:

$$\ell_T = \text{literal lattice-cell diameter}$$

It means:

$$\ell_T = \text{thermal trace wavelength}$$

or:

$$\ell_T = \text{free-space equivalent wavelength of the subitronic floor}$$

This is the wavelength by which the subitronic floor appears thermally. It may be the direct basal void-span of the lattice imprint, but it may also be the remnant trace of a deeper, smaller void-span created by subitronic cymatogenesis.

The better notation is therefore:

$$\ell_T = \text{thermal trace wavelength}$$

and separately:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} = \text{real subitronic void-span}$$

with the open possibility:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} < \ell_T$$

This is the safer formulation. It preserves the corrected frequency while avoiding the premature claim that the observed thermal wavelength is necessarily the literal geometric cell-size of the lattice.

---

5. Thermal Trace and Real Void-Span

The subitron architecture should distinguish three levels.

The first level is the primordial phase-tip:

$$\ell_s = \text{pre-material subitron phase-tip scale}$$

This may not be an ordinary spatial length at all.

The second level is the real void-span opened by subitronic cymatogenesis:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} = \text{actual cymatogenic void-span}$$

This would be the true granular span of voided spacetime, if such a span can be defined.

The third level is the thermal radiation wavelength associated with the corrected floor frequency:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T} \approx 5.28\,\text{mm}$$

This third level is the thermal trace, not necessarily the actual void-span itself.

The corrected hierarchy is therefore:

$$\text{subitron phase-tip} \rightarrow \text{subitronic kymium} \rightarrow \text{cymatogenic void-span} \rightarrow \text{thermal radiation trace}$$

or:

$$\ell_s \rightarrow \ell_{\text{void}} \rightarrow \ell_T$$

The essential caution is:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} \neq \ell_T$$

unless independently justified.

A more cautious ordering is:

$$\ell_s \leq \ell_{\text{void}} \leq \ell_T$$

This means the $5.28\,\text{mm}$ wavelength may be the radiative remnant or coherence echo of a deeper voided structure, not the exact lattice-cell span itself.

Thus, HoloGenesis should not say:

$$\ell_T = \text{literal size of the spacetime grain}$$

It should say:

$$\ell_T = \text{thermal trace of the subitronic floor}$$

and:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} = \text{real granular void-span opened by subitronic cymatogenesis}$$

This is a major improvement because radiative wavelengths are not necessarily identical to the size of the structures that produce them. A smaller structure can radiate, resonate, or imprint a larger wavelength.

---

6. Why This Does Not Make Matter Millimetric

The fact that the subitronic thermal trace is millimetric does not mean that particles must be millimetric.

The reason is that particle structures are not smaller bricks inside a millimetric cell. They are higher-frequency localized inscriptions within the already-imprinted lattice [7], [36], [38], [39], [57].

The distinction is:

$$\ell_T = \text{thermal trace wavelength}$$

while:

$$\ell_{\text{particle}} = \text{localized higher-frequency wrap or glide scale}$$

Thus:

$$\ell_{\text{particle}} < \ell_T$$

is not a contradiction.

A particle is not a smaller chunk of the thermal wavelength. It is a localized curvature, glide, wrap, or shell-mode inscribed through higher-frequency cymatogenesis.

The lattice floor gives the spatial field. Particle structures are written into that field.

Therefore, HoloGenesis should not say:

$$\text{particles are made of millimetric subitron cubes}$$

It should say:

$$\text{particles are higher-frequency inscriptions within the subitron-imprinted lattice}$$

This allows small-scale particle structures to coexist with a millimetric thermal trace.

---

7. The Correct Meaning of Granularity

The word granularity must be used carefully.

There are three possible meanings.

The first meaning is object granularity:

$$\text{granularity} = \text{size of a material obect}$$

This is not the meaning used for the subitron.

The second meaning is void-span granularity:

$$\text{granularity} = \text{standing spatial interval of the lattice imprint}$$

This may be the real granular structure of voided spacetime.

The third meaning is thermal trace granularity:

$$\text{granularity} = \text{wavelength-equivalent of the thermal floor}$$

This is what is directly obtained from:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T}$$

Therefore:

$$\ell_T \approx 5.28\,\text{mm}$$

does not mean:

$$\text{subitron} = \text{millimetric obect}$$

It means:

$$\text{subitronic kymium produces a thermal floor whose free-space equivalent wavelength is } 5.28\,\text{mm}$$

The subitron's granularity is therefore not material granularity. It may be the granularity of voided spacetime itself, or the thermal trace of a deeper void-span.

This is the key doctrinal correction.

---

8. Phase-Tip, Void-Span, and Thermal Trace

The subitron has three distinguishable aspects.

The first aspect is primordial:

$$\ell_s = \text{pre-material phase-tip scale}$$

The second aspect is spatialized:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} = \text{real voided spacetime imprint span}$$

The third aspect is radiative or thermal:

$$\ell_T = \text{thermal trace wavelength}$$

The relation is:

$$\text{phase-tip} \rightarrow \text{kymium} \rightarrow \text{void-span} \rightarrow \text{thermal trace}$$

or:

$$\ell_s \rightarrow \ell_{\text{void}} \rightarrow \ell_T$$

This does not necessarily mean that ℓ_s is an ordinary measurable length. The phase-tip may be pre-spatial, or only indirectly measurable through the spatial and thermal imprint it produces.

Thus, the subitron's primordial phase-tip is not the same as its observable thermal wavelength.

The observable thermal trace is:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T} \approx 5.28\,\text{mm}$$

The real void-span is:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} = \text{actual cymatogenic void-span}$$

The phase-tip itself is more fundamental:

$$\ell_s = \text{unresolved kymic phase-tip scale}$$

The safest statement is:

$$\ell_s \neq \ell_{\text{void}} \neq \ell_T$$

or more cautiously:

$$\ell_s \leq \ell_{\text{void}} \leq \ell_T$$

This preserves all three levels of the theory.

9. The Thermal Floor and the CMB Peak

The corrected subitron architecture distinguishes the primitive thermal floor from the observed CMB peak [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [40], [50], [54].

The primitive thermal floor is:

$$f_T \approx 56.8\,\mathrm{GHz}$$

The CMB peak trace is:

$$f_{\mathrm{CMB,peak}} = 2.821\, f_T$$

Therefore:

$$f_{\mathrm{CMB,peak}} \approx 160.3\,\mathrm{GHz}$$

The base tri-orthogonal stride trace is:

$$f_{\mathrm{stride,base}} = \overline{3}\, f_T$$

so:

$$f_{\mathrm{stride,base}} \approx 98.\,\mathrm{GHz}$$

The signal-stride trace associated with the CMB peak is:

$$f_{\mathrm{stride,signal}} = \overline{3}\, f_{\mathrm{CMB,peak}}$$

so:

$$f_{\mathrm{stride,signal}} \approx 277.6\,\mathrm{GHz}$$

The hierarchy is:

$$f_T \approx 56.8\,\mathrm{GHz} f_{\mathrm{stride,base}} \approx 98.\,\mathrm{GHz} f_{\mathrm{CMB,peak}} \approx 160.3\,\mathrm{GHz} f_{\mathrm{stride,signal}} \approx 277.6\,\mathrm{GHz}$$

These values do not define particle sizes. They define thermal, spectral, and stride traces of the lattice floor.

The corresponding thermal trace wavelength is:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T} \approx 5.28\,\mathrm{mm}$$

This is the basal radiative trace of the subitron floor, not necessarily the literal size of the real cymatogenic void-span.

10. Permittivity, Permeability, and Impedance

The same subitronic kymium that imprints the thermal floor also provides the basis for electromagnetic response.

In HoloGenesis, the Maxwell response constants are not primitive properties of empty space. They are response coefficients of the dark-cloud lattice [31], [32], [33], [41], [43], [55].

The chain is:

$$\text{subitron phase-tip} \to \text{subitronic kymium} \to \text{cymatogenic lattice imprint} \to \text{thermal floor} \to \text{electromagnetic res}$$

The electric response is:

$$\varepsilon_0 = \text{lattice polarization compliance}$$

The magnetic response is:

$$\mu_0 = \text{lattice circulation compliance}$$

The impedance is:

$$Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}}$$

or equivalently:

$$Z_0 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 c}$$

Thus, the electromagnetic constants are not produced by a millimetric object. They arise from the collective compliance of the subitron-imprinted lattice.

The subitron is therefore not the same thing as a Maxwell constant. Rather, the subitron is the primordial kymic condition that makes such response constants possible.

---

11. Distinguishing the Lattice Floor from Particle Shells

Particle shell modes belong to a different scale.

For the electron shell horizon, the local shell frequency is:

$$f_{\text{shell}} \approx 2.65 \times 10^{19}\,\text{Hz}$$

This is not the primitive subitron frequency [41], [42], [43], [47].

The distinction is:

$$f_T = \text{primitive thermal floor frequency}$$

while:

$$f_{\text{shell}} = \text{localized electron shell-horizon frequency}$$

Therefore:

$$f_T \neq f_{\text{shell}}$$

and:

$$\ell_T \neq \ell_{\text{shell}}$$

The lattice floor provides the spatialized void-field. The electron shell is a localized higher-frequency curvature inscription within that field.

This explains why particle scales can be far smaller than the subitronic thermal trace.

The thermal trace is not a limiting resolution in the ordinary mechanical sense. It is the basal radiative signature of the dark-cloud floor. Higher-frequency inscriptions can localize within the lattice because they are not material subdivisions of a cubic cell. They are curvature and phase structures inscribed through the lattice.

---

12. Canonical Definition of the Subitron

The subitron may now be defined as follows.

The subitron is the primitive faint phase-tip of the dark-cloud lattice. It is not a particle, not a glider, not a wrapped entity, and not a material voxel of spacetime. In the case of the subitron, kymium is the subitron itself in its activated kymic state. Through cymatogenesis, this subitronic kymium imprints voided spacetime as the dark-cloud lattice [11], [19], [20], [23], [56], [59].

Its observable thermal imprint is:

$$T_T \approx 2.7\,\mathrm{K}$$

and its corrected primitive thermal frequency is:

$$f_T \approx 56.8\,\mathrm{GHz}$$

The associated wavelength:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T} \approx 5.28\,\mathrm{mm}$$

is not the size of a subitron object. It is the thermal trace wavelength of the spatialized lattice imprint.

The real void-span should be denoted separately:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} = \text{real subitronic void-span}$$

with the open possibility:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} < \ell_T$$

Therefore:

$$\ell_T = \text{thermal trace wavelength}$$

not necessarily:

$$\ell_T = \text{literal lattice-cell size}$$

This is the corrected definition of subitron granularity.

---

13. Compact Formulation

The subitron phase-tip is pre-material:

$$\text{subitron} = \text{primordial kymic phase-tip}$$

In the case of the subitron:

$$\text{kymium}_{\text{sub}} = \text{activated subitron}$$

The Beam excites the subitron into its kymic availability:

$$\text{Beam} \to \text{subitron} \to \text{subitronic kymium}$$

Subitronic kymium imprints spacetime as lattice:

$$\text{subitronic kymium} \to \text{cymatogenesis} \to \text{voided space} \to \text{dark-cloud lattice}$$

The thermal imprint is:

$$f_T \approx 56.8\,\text{GHz}$$

with:

$$T_T \approx 2.7\,\text{K}$$

The thermal trace wavelength is:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T} \approx 5.28\,\text{mm}$$

The real void-span is:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} = \text{actual cymatogenic void-span}$$

The crucial distinction is:

$$\ell_T = \text{thermal trace of the subitronic floor}$$

not necessarily:

$$\ell_T = \text{size of a material spacetime atom}$$

and not necessarily:

$$\ell_T = \text{literal lattice-cell span}$$

Matter and light arise later as:

$$\text{glide} \to \text{wrap} \to \text{shell} \to \text{particle}$$

or more generally:

$$\text{higher-frequency cymatogenic inscription}$$

within the already-imprinted dark-cloud lattice.

---

14. Conclusion

The apparent difficulty caused by the millimetric wavelength of the corrected subitron frequency is resolved by distinguishing between object-size, real void-span, and thermal trace.

The corrected primitive subitron frequency is:

$$f_T \approx 56.8\,\text{GHz}$$

and its associated wavelength is:

$$\ell_T = \frac{c}{f_T} \approx 5.28\,\text{mm}$$

This does not mean that the universe is made of material cubes of size $5.28\,\mathrm{mm}$. It means that the subitronic floor produces a thermal trace whose free-space equivalent wavelength is approximately $5.28\,\mathrm{mm}$.

The subitron itself is not a millimetric object. It is the primordial faint phase-tip whose activation by the Beam is its own kymium. Through cymatogenesis, this subitronic kymium imprints voided space as the dark-cloud lattice and gives rise to the thermal floor, spatial availability, and electromagnetic response structure of spacetime.

The final definition is therefore:

$$\text{subitron} = \text{primitive kymic phase-tip}$$

and:

$$\text{kymium}_{\text{sub}} = \text{activated subitron}$$

while:

$$\ell_{\text{void}} = \text{real granular void-span of its spatial imprint}$$

and:

$$\ell_T = \text{thermal trace wavelength of the subitronic floor}$$

Thus, the granularity of the subitron is not material granularity:

It is the granularity of voided spacetime as opened by the subitronic kymic imprint, while the observed thermal wavelength may be the remnant trace of an even deeper void-span.

This allows HoloGenesis to preserve the corrected thermal frequency:

$$f_T \approx 56.8\,\mathrm{GHz}$$

and the thermal imprint near:

$$T_T \approx 2.7\,\mathrm{K}$$

without implying that particles, atoms, or local field structures are constrained to millimetric objecthood.

The subitron is not a brick inside space. It is the phase-tip by which space becomes lattice.

Keywords

HoloGenesis; subitron; subitron granularity; kymium; cymatogenesis; dark-cloud lattice; voided spacetime; spacetime lattice; thermal trace; thermal imprint; cosmic microwave background; CMB; 56.8 GHz; 2.7 K; subitronic floor; phase-tip; kymic phase-tip; primitive phase condition; lattice ontology; frequency ontology; electromagnetic constants; vacuum permittivity; vacuum permeability; vacuum impedance; Maxwell constants; lattice response; void-span; cymatogenic void-span; spacetime emergence; particle architecture; photon glide; wrapped coherence; thermal floor frequency; frequency before matter; HoloGenesis cosmology

---

Editorial Note

HoloGenesis is an hypothesis and, as such, a framework.

Each law, principle, and concept within it is not meant to stand alone as an isolated hypothesis but as a structural part of a unified system. To fully grasp the meaning of any given element — whether the subitron, the Dark Cloud, the Lattice, the Beam, or The Flash — it is essential to situate it within the framework as a whole.

HoloGenesis is not a collection of scattered theories but a coherent architecture: a frequency-based ontology in which space, time, matter, and light are inseparable consequences of one sustaining order. Reading any part without the whole risks misunderstanding its scope, as every notion derives its sense from the interlocking logic of the system.

For this reason, the present article should be read as one component of a broader theoretical framework, whose internal consistency depends on its wider set of definitions, derivations, and empirical tests.

References - HoloGenesis

---

1. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Deriving Physical Constants from First Principles: The Framework of HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16921821
2. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Nature of Mass, Gravity and Energy as outcomes of Frequencies Within the Framework of HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16927438
3. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Refutation of the Notion of "Entanglement", Divalence & Beta Decay Within the HoloGenesis Framework. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16928902
4. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Strong Force Within the Framework of HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16929360
5. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Weak Force, Mass and Charge architecture in The Framework of HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16932992
6. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Kernel Model for Weak Decay in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16934219
7. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Particle Architecture in the HoloGenesis Framework. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16934330
8. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Fastest Speed in the Universe - The Global Stride Speed of the Lattice, Why the Universe Expands at 1.732c. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16937281
9. Mommaerts, G. (2025). HoloGenesis: Unified Theoretical Framework with Closed-Form Derivations of Fine Structure (alpha), G, Regge Slope (alpha'), and Beta Decay Anisotropy. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16936181
10. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Gravity's Constant due to Curvature's dissipation into the Void of the Lattice in HoloGenesis Version 2. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16936539
11. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Creation of The Universe According to HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16941239
12. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Cosmological Constant (Lambda) out of first Principles with HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16944101
13. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Explaining the Hubble Rate Of Expansion in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16951923

14. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Phenomenological Reason Behind The Redshift With HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16952136
15. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Dark Energy as Lattice Energy in the Framework of HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16952313
16. Mommaerts, G. (2025). From Relativity to Reality - How HoloGenesis Supersedes General Relativity. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16962784
17. Mommaerts, G. (2025). 35 articles from the Framework of HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16969055
18. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Real Time as Emergent Spacetime Within the Framework of HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16983196
19. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Flash Part I - The Inception of Spacetime in the Framework of HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16988811
20. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Flash Part II - The Inception of Spacetime in the Framework of HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16993120
21. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Dwelling Of The Universe in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17008549
22. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Tensegrity - The Suspension of Bodies in the Lattice according to HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17017755
23. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Tessellation of the Lattice by Subitrons in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17017804
24. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Inception Point - Center of the Universe in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17017826
25. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Existence Theorem for a Sustaining Emitter - Lattice & Beam Array Ledger in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17018362
26. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Test run for CMB as Lattice - Test Protocol, Conditions & Results in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17035020
27. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Test run II Phase Coherence in the CMB - Empirical Evidence for the HoloGenesis Lattice. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17045226
28. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Empirical Evidence for a Primordial Frequency - Phase Lattice in the CMB - Comprehensive Analysis of Planck HFI Maps + Addendum - Within the HoloGenesis Framework. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17047941
29. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Achromaticity in the Cosmic Microwave Background - Relic or Structural Signal? An Answer Within the HoloGenesis Framework. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17048255
30. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Lattice Signature in Planck HFI Coherence Tests - from the HoloGenesis Framework. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17053383
31. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Maxwell Permeability Constant Derivation out of First Principles & Lattice Values Within HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17053636
32. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Maxwell Permittivity Constant Derivation out of First Principles & Lattice Values Within HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17053692
33. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Electromagnetic Constants and the Thermal Signature of the Cosmic Lattice in the HoloGenesis Framework. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17053864
34. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Demonstration of Noether Closure from a Standing Lattice in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17057832
35. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Addendum About Charge & Quantum Spin in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17094336

36. Mommaerts, G. (2025). The Cascade of Frequency Decay - Slot and Particle Genesis through Rayleigh–Taylor Descent into the Lattice in the HoloGenesis Framework. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17122877
37. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Gravitational Acceleration and Frequency Modulation in Black Hole Horizon and Accretion Discs - A HoloGenesis Approach. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17137005
38. Mommaerts, G. (2025). Planar vs. Spherical Shell–Like Architecture of Particles in the HoloGenesis Framework. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17151585
39. Mommaerts, G. (2025). "Quantum" Collisions, Phasor Dynamics, and Photon Emergence in HoloGenesis + Addendum I & II. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17152206
40. Mommaerts, G. (2026). 2026 update: Achromaticity in the Cosmic Microwave Background - Relic Signal or Structural Signature?. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20492681
41. Mommaerts, G. (2026). Subitron Phase Closure, Elementary Charge, and the Non-Circular Reconstruction of Electromagnetic Constants in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20492414
42. Mommaerts, G. (2026). The Elementary Charge as an Angular Polarization Amplitude in the HoloGenesis Framework. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20445760
43. Mommaerts, G. (2026). Deriving E max from the Electron Shell Horizon - A Non-Circular HoloGenesis Reconstruction of the Maxwell Constants. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20445773
44. Mommaerts, G. (2026). Gravity as Lattice Tensegrity Response in HoloGenesis: Curvature Displacement, Gravitational Compliance, and Cosmic Realignment Events. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20445789
45. Mommaerts, G. (2026). Gravity as a Curvature-Vector Field in HoloGenesis - Wrapped Frequency, Lattice Tensegrity, Curvature Diffusion, and the Structural Origin of G. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20445804
46. Mommaerts, G. (2026). 2026 update: Dark Energy as Lattice Energy - Recasting the Vacuum in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20446257
47. Mommaerts, G. (2026). 2026 update: Deriving the Fine-Structure, alpha, Constant from Lattice Polarization at the Electron Shell Horizon. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20446513
48. Mommaerts, G. (2026). 2026 update: Entanglement, Divalence, and the Frequency–Time Architecture of Emergence. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20446866
49. Mommaerts, G. (2026). Introduction of the Divalence Law. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20447217
50. Mommaerts, G. (2026). 2026 update: Cross-Frequency Phase Coherence in Planck HFI Polarization Maps - Phase Lattice in the CMB - Comprehensive Analysis of Planck HFI Maps. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20460343
51. Mommaerts, G. (2026). 2026 update: The Fastest Speed in the Universe - The Global Stride Speed of the Lattice, Why the Universe Expands at 1.732c. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20461957
52. Mommaerts, G. (2026). 2026 update: Existence Theorem for a Sustaining Emitter - Lattice & Beam Array Ledger in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20464369
53. Mommaerts, G. (2026). The Dark Cloud as Dark Matter and Dark Energy in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20475065
54. Mommaerts, G. (2026). From Single Frequency to Frequency Square - A Methodological Correction of the Dark-Cloud Architecture in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20477569

55. Mommaerts, G. (2026). Deriving Maxwell Response Constants from the Corrected Subitron Base in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20477717
56. Mommaerts, G. (2026). Frequency Before Energy and Mass - The Law of Frequency Conservation and the Ontological Priority of Coherence in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20479220
57. Mommaerts, G. (2026). The Particle Is the Tip; the Wave Is the Path - Phasor-Tip Detection, Glide Geometry, and the Resolution of Wave–Particle Duality in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20490845
58. Mommaerts, G. (2026). The Sun as a Curvature-Release Engine in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20509002
59. Mommaerts, G. (2026). Vibration & Frequency as Substrate - Kymium - The Hierarchy of Particle Creation in HoloGenesis. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20510262
